IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

BERTHA KEYLON,

Plaintiff,

v. No. 04-1303 JP/RLP

SCOTT BARNARD, City of Albuquerque Police Officer,

Defendant.

PRETRIAL ORDER

This matter is before the Court pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16. The parties conferred and submit the following Pretrial Order.

I. APPEARANCES

Attorneys who will try the action:

For Plaintiff KENNEDY LAW FIRM

Joseph P. Kennedy Shannon L. Kennedy 1000 Second Street N.W.

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87112

(505) 244-1400

COYTE LAW

Matthew E. Coyte

1000 Second Street NW Albuquerque, NM 87102

(505) 244-3030

For Defendant Kathryn Levy

Deputy City Attorney

City of Albuquerque Legal Department

P.O. Box 2248

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 768-4500

II. JURISDICTION AND RELIEF SOUGHT

A. S	ubject M	latter Jurisdiction.	•					
	1. Wa	s this action rem	oved c	or transferre	d from	another	forum? _	Yes
	X	No. If yes, was the	action	removed or t	ransfer	red?		
		Removed	Trans	sferred			Origin	al
forun	n							
	2. Is s	ubject matter juris	diction	າ of this Cou	rt cont	ested?		
	X	_ Uncontested		Contested				Party
conte	esting							
	3. Ass	erted basis for jur	isdicti	on.				
	X	_ Federal Question	l	Diversity		Other		
	Statuto	ry Provision(s) Invo	ked: 42	2 U.S.C. ' 198	3			
В. Р	ersonal	Jurisdiction and V	enue.					
	1. ls pe	ersonal jurisdiction	n conte	ested?				
	X	_ Uncontested		Contested				
	Identify	the party contestin	g perso	onal jurisdictio	on and	basis for o	objection:	
	2 le ve	onus contoctod2						
		enue contested?		0 , , ,				5 (
	x	_ Uncontested		Contested				Party
conte	esting							
C. A	re the pi	oper parties befor	re the (Court?				
	Х	Uncontested		Contested				

If contested, identify each missing party or improper party and the basis for the contention:

D. Identify the affirmative relief sought in this action.

Plaintiff seeks: Actual and compensatory damages; punitive damages;

attorneys= fees, expenses, costs, pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest; and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

2. Defendant seeks: Dismissal of any claim for punitive damages and a finding that Plaintiff has not sustained any damages as a result of Defendant's conduct.

III. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF CLAIMS/DEFENSES.

A. Plaintiff's claims:

Plaintiff alleges that she suffered damages from a wrongful arrest at the hands of Defendant Barnard. Plaintiff further contends that an award of punitive damages is appropriate.

Plaintiff claims physical injury, mental and emotional distress, humiliation, loss of liberty and punitive damages against Defendant Barnard.

B. Defendant's defenses:

Defendant denies Plaintiff has sustained any damages as a result of his conduct and further denies that his conduct was done maliciously, with reckless indifference, wantonly, oppressively or in bad faith so as to warrant an award of punitive damages. Defendant further denies Plaintiff is entitled to pre-judgment interest.

IV. FACTUAL CONTENTIONS UNDERLYING CLAIMS/DEFENSES

A. Stipulated Factual Contentions.

The parties agree to the following facts listed separately below:

- Defendant S. Barnard is an employee of the City of Albuquerque. At all
 times material, he was as a law enforcement officer acting in the scope of
 his employment and under color of state law. Defendant is sued in his
 individual capacity.
- 2. This Court has entered judgment against Defendant Barnard.
- 3. The sole issue for the jury is what, if any, damages did Plaintiff suffer and whether to assess punitive damages against Defendant Barnard.

B. Contested Material Facts.

1. Plaintiff's Contentions:

On September 28, 2003, Defendant Barnard was called to plaintiff=s house by a car repossession company. The company complained that plaintiff=s son had damaged the Arepo@ truck. Plaintiff=s son was not at the residence when the individual defendants arrived.

At plaintiff=s residence, Defendant Barnard asked plaintiff for her son=s date of birth. Plaintiff became confused and was under stress because of the aggressive nature of the defendant. Plaintiff sometimes mixes her two son=s birthdays because they are proximate to each other. Plaintiff truthfully told the officers that she was not sure of his date of birth. Defendant Barnard then asked for plaintiff=s identification. Plaintiff stated her identification was in the house.

When plaintiff attempted to re-enter her house, Defendant Barnard blocked her entry and grabbed her arm. Defendant Barnard used an Aarm-bar@ technique to arrest Ms. Keylon. The defendants tightened the handcuffs beyond what was reasonable to

control plaintiff. Defendant Barnard inflicted pain and suffering and personal injury on the plaintiff. Defendant Barnard charged plaintiff with various misdemeanor crimes. Metropolitan Court dismissed the charges against plaintiff.

2. Defendant's Contentions:

Defendant denies Plaintiff=s contentions. On September 28, 2003, Officer Sedillo and Officer Barnard were dispatched to a call regarding criminal damage to property at 912 Faye PI NE. Upon their arrival, officers began having a conversation with a tow truck driver, Mr. Valdez, who had called the police. Mr. Valdez advised the officers that he was repossessing a vehicle and that after he had it attached to the tow truck, the owner of the vehicle had driven it off of the truck causing damage to the tow truck in excess of one-thousand dollars (\$1000.00), which is a felony. Mr. Valdez told the name of the suspect to the officers. As the officers were talking to Mr. Valdez, he pointed Plaintiff out to them and identified her as the suspect=s mother. Officer Barnard approached Plaintiff and Officer Sedillo continued his conversation with Mr. Valdez.

When Officer Barnard approached Plaintiff he told her what the nature of the call was and what they were doing there. Officer Barnard then asked Plaintiff for her son=s date of birth and address. Plaintiff responded that she did not know. Officer Barnard believed that Plaintiff was being evasive in her responses to his questions and was interfering in the investigation of the felony criminal damage to property. Since Plaintiff was now a suspect of a crime, Officer Barnard needed to identify her and he asked her for her driver=s license. Plaintiff responded that she did not need to give Officer Barnard anything because she did not know anything. Officer Barnard again asked for her identification, and again Plaintiff refused. Instead, Plaintiff attempted to get into the driver=s side of her van. Officer Barnard pushed the door to the van closed

and asked Plaintiff where her ID was located. Plaintiff told officer Barnard it was in her purse in the house. Officer Barnard became suspicious because Plaintiff was trying to get into her van. Plaintiff then began walking toward her house and Officer Barnard followed and again requested her identification. Plaintiff again refused. At that point, Officer Barnard decided to place her under arrest for resisting and concealing her identity. Officer Barnard walked up behind Plaintiff, told her she was under arrest and placed one of her hands in a handcuff. Plaintiff began to actively resist by twisting, turning and pulling away from Officer Barnard. Seeing Plaintiff physically resisting, Officer Sedillo came to Officer Barnard=s aid and assisted him by placing Plaintiff=s other arm behind her back in order to place the handcuffs on her.

Defendant denies Plaintiff has sustained any damages as a result of his conduct and further denies that his conduct was done maliciously, with reckless indifference, wantonly, oppressively or in bad faith so as to warrant an award of punitive damages.

V. APPLICABLE LAW

A. Do the parties agree which law controls the action?

X Yes No

If yes, identify the applicable law. 42 U.S.C. '1983, the New Mexico Tort Claims Act and the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

If no, identify the dispute and set forth each party's position regarding the applicable law.

- **1.** Plaintiff
- 2. Defendant

VI. CONTESTED ISSUES OF LAW

Identify the specific issues of law which are contested.

1. Plaintiff

a. Plaintiff can identify no contested issues of law.

2. Defendant

a. Whether punitive damages can be awarded as a matter of law given the actions of Defendant.

VII. MOTIONS

- A. Pending Motions (indicate the date filed):
 - **1.** Plaintiff None
 - 2. Defendants None
- B. Motions which may be filed:
 - 1. Plaintiff None
 - 2. Defendants None

VIII. DISCOVERY

A. Has discovery been comple	eted? _	_X	Yes	No	
If no, discovery terminates on					

B. Are there any discovery matters of which the Court should be aware? No.

IX. ANTICIPATED WITNESSES

Each party is under a continuing duty to supplement this list and the description of anticipated testimony. This does not, however, apply to a rebuttal witness. Indicate if the witness will testify in person or by deposition and include a brief description of the anticipated testimony. If the testimony is by deposition, identify the deposition by page number and line number. A witness who has not been identified and whose testimony has not been disclosed may not testify at trial unless good cause is shown.

A. Plaintiff's Witnesses:

1. Plaintiff will call or have available at trial the following witnesses:

Will call

Bertha Keylon
 c/o Kennedy Law Firm
 1000 Second Street NW
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 244-1400

Plaintiff will testify to the facts as alleged in her complaint and to her damages suffered as a result of this incident.

2. Brian Keylon (via previous trial transcript) deceased

Brian Keylon was the son of Bertha Keylon and was a witness to the incident and to the physical injuries sustained Plaintiff. He will testify as to the facts alleged in the complaint and to the damages suffered by the Plaintiff.

3. Laura Martinez 908 Faye Place NE Albuquerque, New Mexico 87112 (505) 385-9046

Laura Martinez is the daughter the Bertha Keylon and was a witness to the incident and to the physical injuries sustained by Plaintiff. She will testify as to the facts alleged in the complaint and to the damages suffered by the Plaintiff.

4. Diana Barreras 4301 Morris NE, Apt H42 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87112 (505) 291-2334

> Ms. Barreras was visiting a neighbor of the Plaintiff and was a witness to the incident and to the physical injuries sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the incident. She will testify as to the facts alleged in the complaint.

5. Owen Newton 913 Faye Place NE Albuquerque, New Mexico (505) 291-0550 Mr. Owen is a neighbor of the Plaintiff and was a witness to the incident and to the physical injuries sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the incident. He will testify as to the facts alleged in the complaint.

May call

Filbert J. Montes, J.D.
 3900 Juan Tabo Blvd NE
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87111

Mr. Montes represented the Plaintiff on the criminal charges stemming from this incident and will testify as to the facts of the criminal case and dismissal of the charges.

7. Stephen Fuette, M.D.
Presbyterian Kaseman Hospital
8300 Constitution Avenue NE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110
(505) 291-2121

Dr. Fuette is the emergency room physician that examined the Plaintiff on the day of the incident and will testify as to the injuries sustained by the Plaintiff.

8. Deborah Jarmul
Northside Family Practice
5901 Harper Drive NE
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Ms. Jarmul is the physician assistant referred to Plaintiff by Dr. Fuette for further evaluation and medical treatment. She will testify as to the injuries sustained by the Plaintiff and to the medical treatment provided.

Dr. Lill
 Radiology Associates of Albuquerque
 P.O. Box 91150
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87199

Dr. Lill is the radiologist in the emergency room on the day of the incident a will testify as to the results of the x-rays and to the injuries sustained by the Plaintiff.

10. David Sedilloc/o Albuquerque Police Department400 Roma NWAlbuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Defendant Sedillo is an officer with Albuquerque Police Department. He is expected to testify to his participation and observations regarding the encounter with Plaintiff on September 28, 2003.

11. Defendant Scott Barnardc/o Albuquerque Police Department400 Roma NWAlbuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Defendant Barnard is an officer with Albuquerque Police Department. He is expected to testify to his participation and observations regarding the encounter with Plaintiff on September 28, 2003.

B. Defendant's Witnesses:

- 1. Defendant will call or have available at trial the following witnesses:
 - a.. APD Officer Scott Barnard c/o Kathryn Levy P.O. Box 2248 Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-768-4500

Defendant. Defendant Barnard will testify regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding his encounter with Plaintiff on September 28, 2003.

- 2. Defendant may call the following witnesses:
 - b. Isaac Valdez2320 MenewBosque Farms, New Mexico 87068

Witness. Mr. Valdez was an employee of Paramount Research & Recovery on September 28, 2003, and may testify regarding his observations of the encounter between officers and Plaintiff.

c. APD Field Investigator Rochelle Melvin c/o Kathryn Levy P.O. Box 2248
Albuquerque, NM 87103
505-768-4500

Witness. FI Melvin took photographs of the plaintiff and of Officers Sedillo and Barnard.

d. Albuque rque Police Department Officer David Sedillo

c/o Kathryn Levy P.O. Box 2248 Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-768-4500

Officer Sedillo will testify regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding his encounter with Plaintiff on September 28, 2003.

- e. Any witnesses identified by Plaintiff.
- f. Any witnesses necessary for authentication, foundation, impeachment or rebuttal.

X. TRIAL PREPARATION

A. Exhibits.

The parties must confer over all trial exhibits. This does not apply to rebuttal exhibits that cannot be anticipated before trial. The parties must file an original plus three (3) copies of the parties' "consolidated exhibit list identifying all exhibits that the parties have stipulated are admissible" and a "consolidated exhibit list identifying all exhibits the parties have stipulated to be authentic, but to which there are other objections" no later than _____ calendar days before trial. For those exhibits on which a stipulation could not be reached, the offering party must file a separate "contested exhibit list" no later than _____ calendar days before trial. An original plus three (3) copies of each party's contested exhibit list must be filed on the date identified in the preceding paragraph. In addition, two courtesy copies of the contested and uncontested exhibit list must be delivered to the judge's chambers.

All exhibits must be marked before trial. Exhibits must be marked numerically and identify the party offering the exhibit. The identification number or letter will remain the same whether the exhibit is admitted or not.

B. Witness Lists.

An original and three (3) copies of a party's witness list must be filed with the
Clerk and served on all parties by Indicate whether the
witness is testifying by deposition or in person. Objections to use of deposition testimony
are due within fourteen (14) calendar days of service of the witness list. The objecting
party must highlight those portions of the requested deposition testimony to which the
party objects. Plaintiff must use a yellow highlighter and defendant must use a blue
highlighter. The parties must confer about any disputes and, if unable to resolve any
differences, must notify the Court in writing at least calendar days before trial.
C. Voir Dire.
1. If allowed, do the parties wish to participate in voir dire?
PlaintiffX Yes No
Defendant X Yes No

2. Each party wishing to participate in *voir dire* must serve on all parties and file with the Clerk, a pleading entitled "Proposed Voir Dire Questions." The pleading must identify the specific areas about which the party wishes to inquire and must set forth proposed *voir dire* questions. This request must be filed at least _____ calendar days prior to jury selection.

D. Jury Instructions and Verdict.

1. In General. The parties must confer about proposed jury instructions. The Court will prepare and provide the parties with a Court-proposed set of general "stock" instructions that will be given. The stock instructions are available from the Clerk. The instructions that the parties must submit to the Court will be those which set forth the elements and definitions of the claims or charges, and the elements and any definitions of any defenses. 2. Sources for Instructions. If

pattern instructions are followed by the judge, the judge will indicate at the pretrial conference his or her preference for the source of instruction.

3. Submission of Proposed Instructions. The parties must submit one mutually approved set of jury instructions no later than _____ calendar days before trial. For those instructions the parties were unable to agree upon, each party must submit its own proposed instructions at the same time as submission of the mutually agreed instructions.

4. Form of Instructions.

- a. Submit sets of double-spaced instructions as follows:
 ___ set(s) of originals without citations and headed "Instruction No.___";
 and
 __ set(s) with citations and numbered accordingly, (Fig 1), one of which will be filed.
- **b.** If available, also submit a hard 3.5 diskette of all instructions in a format compatible with Word Perfect 8.0.
- **c.** Submit no more than one instruction to a page.
- **d.** All deviations from pattern instructions must be identified as "modified" in the citation and the modification must be highlighted in the body of the instruction.
- e. Submit a cover sheet on all sets of instructions.

5. Deadlines for Submitting Instructions.

- **a.** Instructions and diskette shall be filed calendar days before trial.
- **b.** Supplemental unanticipated jury instructions may be submitted at trial.

E. Statement of Case.

The parties	must confer and submit an agreed	statement of the case to the Court
that will be read to	the jury panel during jury selection.	The statement must be submitted
to the Court	days before jury selection.	
F. Submissions	for Bench Trials.	

- 1. The parties must submit one mutually approved set of proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law no later than ____ calendar days before trial. For those findings of fact and conclusions of law the parties were unable to agree upon, each party must submit its own proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law at the same time as submission of the mutually approved set.
- **2.** If available, submit a hard 3.5 diskette on Word Perfect 8.0 format of the findings of fact and conclusions of law.

XI. OTHER MATTERS

A. Settlement Possibilities.

1. Th	ne possibility o	of settlement	in this ca	ase is consid	ered:		
x_	Poor	Fai	·	Good	_ Exc	ellent	
Unkn	own						
2. Do	o the parties	have a settle	ment co	nference set	with the a	ssigned N	/lagistrate
Judge	e?						
	Yes	_X N	o If		yes,		when?
lf a s	settlement co	nference ha	s alread	y been held	I, indicate	approxim	ate date.
Would	d a follow-up	settlement co	onferenc	e be benefici	al?	Yes _X	No

3. Does either party wish to explore any alternatives for dispute resolution such as mediation or a summary jury trial? If yes, please identify. If no, explain why not. Due to the City=s no settlement policy, it would not be in the best interest of judicial economy.

B. Length of Trial and Trial Setting.

1.	Thi	s a	ction	nisa _		Bench tr	ialX_	Ju	ry Tri	al _	E	Both		
2.	The	e ca	ase i	s set fo	r trial o	on a traili	ng trial do	cket b	eginn	ing	January	/ 27 ,	2009	. If
the	ere	is	no	setting	, the	parties	estimate	they	will	be	ready	for	trial	by

3. The estimated length of trial is 1-2 day(s).

XII. EXCEPTIONS

XIII. **MODIFICATIONS-INTERPRETATION**

The Pretrial Order when entered will control the course of trial and may only be amended sua sponte by the Court or by consent of the parties and Court approval. The pleadings will be deemed merged herein.

The foregoing proposed Pretrial Order (prior to execution by the Court) is hereby approved this day of January, 2009.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

KENNEDY LAW FIRM

/s/ Joseph P. Kennedy

Joseph P. Kennedy, Shannon L. Kennedy Attorneys for Plaintiff 1000 Second Street NW Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 244-1400 (505) 244-1406 (facsimile)

COYTE LAW

/s/ Matthew E. Coyte

Matthew E. Coyte
Attorney for Plaintiff
1000 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 244-3030
(505) 244-1406 (facsimile)

Attorney for Defendant

/s/ Kathryn Levy

Kathryn Levy
Deputy City Attorney
P. O. Box 2248
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87I03
(505) 768-4500
(505) 768-4440 (facsimile)

JAMES A. PARKER	Dated: _		
JAMES A. PARKER			